"Express Mail" mailing label number EL737386405US
Date of Deposit April 16, 2004
I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" services under 37 C.F.R. 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Typed Name of Person Mailing Paper or Fee: Terri Walker
Signature: Terri Walker

PATENT APPLICATION
DOCKET NO. 200314150-1

DOUBLE DOTTING FOR GRAIN EQUALIZATION

INVENTORS:

Ronald A. Askeland
Wayne M. Richard

DOUBLE DOTTING FOR GRAIN EQUALIZATION

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Field of the Invention

[0001] This invention relates to a method for grain equalization utilizing double dotting, comprising the steps of: determining a drop weight of each die in a multi-die printhead to print a given ink; determining the highest drop weight amongst all of the dies; and determining a percentage of double dotting that is needed to substantially match a grain of the highest drop weight die.

Description of the Related Art

[0002] Compared to thermal ink jet printing systems that employ only a single die for each primary color, multi- die architectures present unique challenges in the area of color consistency. For printers using a single die for a given ink, die-to-die drop weight differences can cause color shifts between print jobs, but the color remains consistent within each print, as shown in Figure 1a. As can be seen in Figure 1a, there is a color shift between print A and print B even though both prints were printed by the same type of print head but of different drop weights for the same ink color. In contrast, for multi-die systems, uncompensated die-to-die drop weight differences can cause bands of visible color differences within any given print job, as shown in Figure 1b. Even small differences in drop weight between dies can become visible and reduce customer satisfaction.

[0003] It is known in the color compensation art to employ a color compensation algorithm. Using the color compensation algorithm, the relative printed dot densities, or numbers of dots per unit area, can effectively be adjusted at the individual die level. This compensates for natural drop weight variations caused by the manufacturing process, as well as colorant concentration differences and establishes better color consistency between prints generated from different dies of the same color.

[0004] For multiple die per color printing systems, while the color compensation algorithm can match colors between dies with different drop weights, grain differences can be observed between printed die regions when drop weight variation exceeds a threshold (approximately 15%). Figure 2a illustrates printing an area fill with a high drop weight. Figure 2b illustrates what happens with a low drop weight die when the color compensation algorithm is used for color matching. Even though the color between the high drop weight area fill and the low drop weight area fill may be similar, Figure 2a has significantly higher grain in Figure 2b.

[0005] It is also known that trickle warming can be used to raise the temperature of low drop weight dies. Dies operated at a higher temperature have a higher drop weight. By setting the trickle warming temperatures of individual dies appropriately, equal drop weights can be established between them. However, the drop volume range in which trickle warming can be used is limited. Independent of drop weight, trickle warming is used to improve drop ink ejection performance and reliability by warming a die to a minimum temperature (i.e. 45° C trickle warming set point). A high drop weight die cannot use a trickle warming temperature that is lower than a minimum trickle warming set point selected for drop ejection performance and reliability. Higher trickle warming temperatures can cause problems with material's reliability, ink out-gassing, and puddling. Conversely, a low drop weight die cannot use a trickle warming temperature that is higher than the maximum trickle warming set point. In short, the trickle warming approach can only be used over an allowed temperature range that limits the ability to adjust for larger drop volume variations between dies.

[0006] It is apparent from the above that there exists a need in the art for a color compensation method and apparatus that reduces graininess in multi-die inkjet printing systems through the use of double dotting and does not rely heavily upon the utilization of the trickle warming approach It is a purpose of this invention to fulfill this and other needs in the art in a manner more apparent to the skilled artisan once given the following disclosure.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0007] Generally speaking, an embodiment of this invention fulfills these needs by providing a method for grain equalization utilizing double dotting, comprising the steps of: determining a drop weight of each die in a multi-die printhead to print a given ink; determining the highest drop amongst all of the dies; and determining a percentage of double dotting that is needed to substantially match a grain of the highest drop weight die.

[0008] In certain preferred embodiments, the graininess in a print job can be equalized through the use of double dotting. A color compensation algorithm can be used in conjunctions with double dotting to equalize the color from each die.

[0009] The preferred print job grain equalization method, according to various embodiments of the present invention, offers the following advantages: ease-of-use; excellent grain equalization characteristics; excellent color density characteristics; excellent color compensation algorithm characteristics; and increased customer satisfaction. In fact, in many of the preferred embodiments, the combination of grain equalization, color density, and the color compensation algorithm are optimized to an extent that is considerably higher than heretofore achieved in prior, known print job grain equalization methods.

[00010] The above and other features of the present invention, which will become more apparent as the description proceeds, are best understood by considering the following detailed description in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, wherein like characters represent like parts throughout the several views and in which:

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[00011] Figs. 1a and 1b are schematic illustrations that illustrate single die color consistency and multi-die color consistency, respectively, according to prior art;

[00012] Figs. 2a-2c illustrate various area fills for a high drop weight die, according to the prior art (Fig. 2a), a low drop weight die, according to

the prior art (Fig. 2b), and a low drop weight die printed with a mixture of single and double dotting (Fig. 2c), according to one embodiment of the present invention;

[00013] Fig. 3 is a flowchart of a method for grain equalization in a print job, according to one embodiment of the present invention;

[00014] Fig. 4 illustrates a number of photomicrographs of double dotting, according to another embodiment of the present invention; and

[00015] Fig. 5 is a chart that illustrates the effects of double dotting on color and grain, according to another embodiment of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

[00016] With reference first to Figure 2c, there is illustrated one preferred embodiment for use of the concepts of this invention. The term "double dotting" is used to describe ejecting two droplets from the same inkjet nozzle with a short time delay so that the droplets merge on the media to form a single dot. See, for example, commonly assigned, U.S. Patent No. 6,259,463 ('463) to R.A. Askeland et al., entitled "Multi-drop Merge on Media Printing System ", which is hereby incorporated in its entirety by reference. With respect to the present invention, the grain of a small drop weight die can be increased to equal that of a large drop weight die by printing a fraction of the small drop weight dots as double dots, as shown in Fig. 2c.

[00017] Fig. 3 is flowchart of the method 2 for grain equalization in a print job. As shown in Fig. 3, method 2 includes, in part, the steps of: determining the average drop weight of each die to print a given ink (step 4); determining the highest drop weight that is being used amongst all of the dies (step 6); determining what percentage of double dotting is needed to match the grain of the highest drop weight die (step 8); printing a test pattern (step 10) for evaluating density differences; determining if the color densities of the test pattern are satisfactory (step 12); and using a color compensation algorithm to equal color from each die (step 14).

[00018] With respect to step 4, the average drop weight of each die to print a given ink in a multi-die printing system is determined according to well-known, conventional techniques. As discussed previously, for multiple die per color printing systems, uncompensated die-to-die drop weight differences can cause bands of visible color differences within any given print.

[00019] After the average drop weight of each die to print a given ink in a multi-die printing system has been measured, an analysis is made to determine the highest drop weight for each color, as shown in step 6. This is accomplished by measuring the actual or relative drop weight, often indirectly such as density measurements, for each die for that particular color. For the die that is utilizing its highest drop weight for that particular ink, double dotting should not have to be performed and the procedure can move to the color compensation algorithm step for that die, as set forth in step 14. However, if the die is not utilizing its highest drop weight for that particular ink, a determination must be made as to what percentage of double dotting is needed to match the grain of the highest drop weight die for that particular ink, as shown in step 8. Figure 5, which will be discussed later, illustrates the effects of double dotting on grain and color and can be used in order to determine the percentage of double dotting needed to match the grain of the highest drop weight die for that particular ink. It is to be understood that the amount of double dotting can be varied for a given die for different print densities. For example, more double dotting may be utilized for darker area fills and less double dotting could be used for lighter fill areas.

[00020] After the double dotting percentage has been determined, a test pattern is printed that includes the calculated amounts of double dotting, as shown in step 10. An example of simple test pattern is a single density of approximately 6 nanograms (ng) ink/600x600 dpi pixels. The color density of the test pattern is then measured for printed densities, among other things, as shown in step 12 and a conventional color compensation algorithm technique is then used to further equalize the color from each die, as shown in step 14.

[00021] The color compensation algorithm technique, typically is used to determine the ratio of the dots between the two test patterns in order to match the color between the two test patterns and to compensate for residual die-to-die graininess differences.

[00022] It is to be understood that a more general approach to the present invention can be employed. For example, with respect to the drop weight, the present invention works if the absolute drop weight is known. However, the present invention will also work if the relative drop weights between the die are known (i.e., die A has a 20% lower drop weight that die B). Also, with respect to the test pattern, it would be possible to use the present invention without steps 10 and 12 in Figure 3, if a good understanding of the apparatus were obtained. Finally, with respect to a multi-die system, the present invention works if the multiple die are on a module or if a printer is utilized with multiple pens with one or more die on each pen.

[00023] With respect to Figure 4, several different photomicrographs of actual double dotting samples are shown. As can be seen in Figure 4, double dotting 6.25% of the 7.1 ng drops equalizes the grain of 8.0 ng drops with no double dotting. On the other end of the scale, approximately 25% double dotting is required to match the grain of 5.8 ng drops with 8.0 ng drops. Other double dotting percentages can be utilized to match the grain of lower drop weights to the 8.0 ng drop weight.

[00024] As discussed above, Figure 5 illustrates the effects of double dotting on grain and color by comparing the increase in double dotting with an increase in drop weight. As can be seen in the chart in Figure 5, double dotting increases the grain of a low drop weight die faster than it increases the total amount of ink printed on a sheet of media. If a small drop weight die uses enough double dots to match the colorant level of a high drop weight die, the area fill grain of the small drop weight die will exceed the grain of the large drop weight die.

[00025] It is to be understood that the flowchart of Fig. 3 shows the architecture, functionality, and operation of one implementation of the present invention. If embodied in software, each block may represent a module, segment, or portion of code that comprises one or more executable

instructions to implement the specified logical function(s). If embodied in hardware, each block may represent a circuit or a number of interconnected circuits to implement the specified logical function(s).

[00026] Also, the present invention can be embodied in any computer-readable medium for use by or in connection with an instruction-execution system, apparatus or device such as a computer/processor based system, processor-containing system or other system that can fetch the instructions from the instruction-execution system, apparatus or device, and execute the instructions contained therein. In the context of this disclosure, a "computer-readable medium" can be any means that can store, communicate, propagate or transport a program for use by or in connection with the instruction-execution system, apparatus or device. The computer-readable medium can comprise any one of many physical media such as, for example, electronic, magnetic, optical, electromagnetic, infrared, or semiconductor media. More specific examples of a suitable computer-readable medium would include, but are not limited to, a portable magnetic computer diskette such as floppy diskettes or hard drives, a random access memory (RAM), a read-only memory (ROM), an erasable programmable read-only memory, or a portable compact disc. It is to be understood that the computer-readable medium could even be paper or another suitable medium upon which the program is printed, as the program can be electronically captured, via, for instance, optical scanning of the paper or other medium, then compiled, interpreted or otherwise processed in a single manner, if necessary, and then stored in a computer memory.

[00027] Those skilled in the art will understand that various embodiment of the present invention can be implemented in hardware, software, firmware or combinations thereof. Separate embodiments of the present invention can be implemented using a combination of hardware and software or firmware that is stored in memory and executed by a suitable instruction-execution system. If implemented solely in hardware, as in an alternative embodiment, the present invention can be separately implemented with any or a combination of technologies which are well known in the art (for example, discrete-logic circuits, application-specific integrated circuits (ASICs), programmable-gate arrays (PGAs), field-programmable gate arrays (FPGAs),

and/or other later developed technologies. In preferred embodiments, the present invention can be implemented in a combination of software and data executed and stored under the control of a computing device.

[00028] It will be well understood by one having ordinary skill in the art, after having become familiar with the teachings of the present invention, that software applications may be written in a number of programming languages now known or later developed.

[00029] Although the flowchart of Figure 3 shows a specific order of execution, the order of execution may differ from that which is depicted. For example, the order of execution of two or more blocks may be scrambled relative to the order shown. Also, two or more blocks shown in succession in Figure 3 may be executed concurrently or with partial concurrence. All such variations are within the scope of the present invention.

[00030] Once given the above disclosure, many other features, modifications or improvements will become apparent to the skilled artisan. Such features, modifications or improvements are, therefore, considered to be a part of this invention, the scope of which is to be determined by the following claims.